CAN ARRIVAL RATES BE MODELLED BY SINE WAVES?

Ningyuan Chen¹, joint work with Donald Lee² and Sahand Negaban³ Rotman Healthcare Roundtable 2020

¹UToronto ²Emory ³Yale

INTRODUCTION

BACKGROUND

 Arrival processes describe temporal demand for service in queuing systems. It is the starting point of all subsequent operations.

BACKGROUND

- Arrival processes describe temporal demand for service in queuing systems. It is the starting point of all subsequent operations.
- Emergency departments:
 - Capacity and staffing plans require a good understanding of patient arrival patterns.
 - Poor forecasting of demand can rob patients of timely critical care.



BACKGROUND

- Arrival processes describe temporal demand for service in queuing systems. It is the starting point of all subsequent operations.
- Emergency departments:
 - Capacity and staffing plans require a good understanding of patient arrival patterns.
 - Poor forecasting of demand can rob patients of timely critical care.



• Many other examples where accurate models for arrivals are critical to managers

COMMON PRACTICE

- How are arrival rates specified or estimated in practice from time stamps of past arrivals?
 - Specify a period (say, a week) such that the arrival pattern repeats itself judged from experience

COMMON PRACTICE

- How are arrival rates specified or estimated in practice from time stamps of past arrivals?
 - Specify a period (say, a week) such that the arrival pattern repeats itself judged from experience
 - Specify a bucket size (say, an hour) and count the arrivals in each bucket

COMMON PRACTICE

- How are arrival rates specified or estimated in practice from time stamps of past arrivals?
 - Specify a period (say, a week) such that the arrival pattern repeats itself judged from experience
 - Specify a bucket size (say, an hour) and count the arrivals in each bucket
 - Average the bucket counts across periods
 - (Optional) fit the piecewise constant curve by a function



- Strengths
 - Robust
 - No need to specify a model (nonparametric)
 - Efficient to compute

- Strengths
 - Robust
 - No need to specify a model (nonparametric)
 - Efficient to compute
- Weaknesses
 - Prior knowledge of the frequency
 - Cannot deal with multiple periodicity
 - Not easy to interpret

• An alternative formulation

$$\lambda(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{p} c_k \cos(v_k t + \phi_k),$$

frequencies v_k , amplitudes c_k , phases ϕ_k .

• An alternative formulation

$$\lambda(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{p} c_k \cos(v_k t + \phi_k),$$

frequencies v_k , amplitudes c_k , phases ϕ_k .

 Flexibility: any periodic or non-periodic functions can be approximated (Fourier analysis)

$$\bigwedge_{\lambda(t)} = \bigwedge_{c_1 \cos(\nu_1 t + \phi_1)} + \bigwedge_{c_2 \cos(\nu_2 t + \phi_2)} + \dots + \underset{c_p \cos(\nu_p t + \phi_p)}{-}$$

• Interpretability



• Interpretability



• May open ways to tractable analysis [Eick et al., 1993]

ESTIMATION

ESTIMATING ARRIVAL RATES FROM THE DATA

• Data: $t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_N$ are time stamps of past arrivals

- Data: $t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_N$ are time stamps of past arrivals
- To estimate the frequencies, use spectral (Fourier) analysis
- To estimate the amplitudes and phases, use least square estimators

FREQUENCY IDENTIFICATION

• Discrete Fourier transform:

$$egin{aligned} & ilde{N}(v) riangleq rac{1}{T} \left| \int_0^T e^{-2\pi i v t} dN(t)
ight. \ &= rac{1}{T} \left| \sum_{i=1}^N e^{-2\pi i v t_i}
ight| \end{aligned}$$

to approximate

$$\tilde{\lambda}(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{1}{T} \left| \int_0^T e^{-2\pi i \mathbf{v} t} \lambda(t) dt \right|.$$

FREQUENCY IDENTIFICATION

 Discrete Fourier transform:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{N}(v) &\triangleq \frac{1}{T} \left| \int_{0}^{T} e^{-2\pi i v t} dN(t) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{T} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{N} e^{-2\pi i v t_i} \right| \\ \text{to approximate} \\ \tilde{\lambda}(v) &= \frac{1}{T} \left| \int_{0}^{T} e^{-2\pi i v t} \lambda(t) dt \right|. \end{split}$$

· Ideally we should see the right

2



In fact, because of the noise in N(t), and the leakage (finite T), we are more likely to see



NOT A BIG DEAL? OR...

 Frequency estimation error cannot be larger than O(1/T) for consistent amplitude recovery



THE SOLUTION

• Our innovation: Weight the number of arrivals at time *t* with a window function *w*(*t*).

$$ilde{N}^{w}(v) \triangleq rac{1}{T} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{N} w(t_i) e^{-2\pi i v t_i} \right|$$

THE SOLUTION

• Our innovation: Weight the number of arrivals at time *t* with a window function *w*(*t*).

$$\tilde{N}^{w}(v) \triangleq \frac{1}{T} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{N} w(t_i) e^{-2\pi i v t_i} \right|$$



THE SOLUTION

• Our innovation: Weight the number of arrivals at time *t* with a window function *w*(*t*).

$$\tilde{N}^{w}(v) \triangleq \frac{1}{T} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{N} w(t_i) e^{-2\pi i v t_i} \right|$$



• Looks biased, but works: $\|\hat{v}_k - v_k\| = O(1/T)$ even when v_k and v_{k+1} are O(1/T) close.

1. Compute the windowed DFT:



11

2. Compute a data-driven threshold τ :



3. Pick peaks above τ , remove a neighborhood:



13

4. Repeat until no peaks are above τ :



14

- 5. Based on the estimated frequencies \hat{v}_k , we can proceed to estimate the amplitudes and phases by the least squares.
 - We can reorganize the observations into buckets of width *dt*: [0, *dt*], [*dt*, 2*dt*], ..., [*T dt*, *T*].
 - The observed Y is 0 or 1 for that bucket.

- 5. Based on the estimated frequencies \hat{v}_k , we can proceed to estimate the amplitudes and phases by the least squares.
 - We can reorganize the observations into buckets of width *dt*: [0, *dt*], [*dt*, 2*dt*], ..., [*T dt*, *T*].
 - The observed Y is 0 or 1 for that bucket.
 - Least squares: find $c_{k,1}$ and $c_{k,2}$ so that

$$\hat{\lambda}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{p} c_k \cos(\hat{v}_k t + \phi_k) = \sum_{k=0}^{p} c_{k,1} \cos(\hat{v}_k t) + c_{k,2} \sin(\hat{v}_k t)$$

minimizes the MSE of the T/dt observations. The same as linear regression.

- 5. Based on the estimated frequencies \hat{v}_k , we can proceed to estimate the amplitudes and phases by the least squares.
 - We can reorganize the observations into buckets of width *dt*: [0, *dt*], [*dt*, 2*dt*], ..., [*T dt*, *T*].
 - The observed Y is 0 or 1 for that bucket.
 - Least squares: find $c_{k,1}$ and $c_{k,2}$ so that

$$\hat{\lambda}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{p} c_k \cos(\hat{v}_k t + \phi_k) = \sum_{k=0}^{p} c_{k,1} \cos(\hat{v}_k t) + c_{k,2} \sin(\hat{v}_k t)$$

minimizes the MSE of the T/dt observations. The same as linear regression.

• If $dt \rightarrow 0$, then $(X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y$ has a closed form.

EMPIRICAL STUDY

Data characteristics:

- Time stamps of 168,392 patent arrivals from 2014 Jan to 2015 Sept (T = 652 days)
- Emergency Severity Index (ESI) level of each patient
 - Level 1 most severe (e.g., cardiac disease); level 5 least severe (e.g., rash)
- We analyze ESI level 2 and level 3 to 5 separately (level 2 are treated in a separate ward)

ESI LEVEL 2

- 66,240 patient arrivals
- Estimated frequencies: $\hat{v}_1 = 1.00$, $\hat{v}_2 = 2.00$, $\hat{v}_3 = 3.00$, $\hat{v}_4 = 0.714$, $\hat{v}_5 = 0.857$, $\hat{v}_6 = 1.143$



- $\hat{v}_1 = 1.00, \ \hat{v}_2 = 2.00, \ \hat{v}_3 = 3.00$ make up the daily cycle.
- $\hat{v}_4 = 0.714$ (5/7), $\hat{v}_5 = 0.857$ (6/7), $\hat{v}_6 = 1.143$ (8/7) make up the weekly cycle.

- $\hat{v}_1 = 1.00, \ \hat{v}_2 = 2.00, \ \hat{v}_3 = 3.00$ make up the daily cycle.
- $\hat{v}_4 = 0.714$ (5/7), $\hat{v}_5 = 0.857$ (6/7), $\hat{v}_6 = 1.143$ (8/7) make up the weekly cycle.
- There are two peaks in a day; the intensity of arrivals fade steadily into the weekend.

ESI LEVEL 3 TO 5

- 99,205 patient arrivals
- Estimated frequencies: $\hat{v}_1 = 1.00, \, \hat{v}_2 = 2.00, \, \hat{v}_3 = 3.00, \, \hat{v}_4 = 0.857$



• Only one weekly cycle is present $\hat{\nu}_4=0.857.$ The weekly cycle is weaker than level 2.

- Only one weekly cycle is present $\hat{v}_4 = 0.857$. The weekly cycle is weaker than level 2.
- Unable to capture the localized spikes on Monday, will need more weekly cycles

- Only one weekly cycle is present $\hat{\nu}_4=0.857.$ The weekly cycle is weaker than level 2.
- Unable to capture the localized spikes on Monday, will need more weekly cycles
- In both cases
 - No monthly cycles are identified
 - No seasonal cycles are identified, probably because *T* is not large enough

We propose a sine-wave-based approach to the modeling and estimation of non-stationary arrival processes. Compared to the common approach:

- Not requiring prior knowledge of periods
- Can handle conflated multiple periodicity
- Much sparser (3*p* vs. hundreds of parameters)
- May provide interpretable insights
- Computation is not straightforward
- Sensitive to the threshold
- May miss localized spikes