

February 2021

LongView

Martinrea International Inc. Corporate Board Report

The David and Sharon Johnston Centre for Corporate Governance Innovation



Preface: Outside the Box

Welcome to LongView. Your customized report on *Martinrea International Inc.'s* corporate governance disclosure, process and structure.

Over the past 20 years, our researchers have learned that while there is likely no single best practice, good governance is a critical part of long-term, sustainable value creation. **LongView** is an evolution in governance reporting, enabling you to compare elements of *Martinrea International Inc.'s* governance to other issuers at a glance, while moving away from the Johnston Centre's previous judgment-based approach. The Johnston Centre has developed **LongView** reports for every issuer (207) on the S&P/TSX Composite Index (TSX Index).

Access reports on other issuers at <u>www.rotman.utoronto.ca/Johnston</u>.

Martinrea International Inc. (MRE)

Corporate Profile

| Industry: | Market Cap: | TSX Index Market Cap Rank: | Region: | Fiscal Year End: |
|------------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Consumer Discretionary | \$1.2 billion | 198 / 207 | Ontario, Canada | 12/31 |

MRE Ownership Type: Widely-Held

MRE Size Peer Group: Market Cap Under \$4.75B*

*\$4.75B is the median market capitalization as of January 21, 2021 among our sample of 207 TSX Index companies

| Ownership Type | 78% | 10% | 12% |
|----------------|---|---|---|
| Breakdown: | Widely-Held | Controlled: Single-Class | Controlled: Dual-Class |
| 2020 TSX Index | No shareholder or entity holds shares with at least 30% voting control of the corporation. | A shareholder or a block of related shareholders controls at least 30% of all votes. | At least 30% of all votes are controlled by a shareholder or a block of related shareholders using a share class with superior voting rights. |

The David & Sharon Johnston Centre for Corporate Governance Innovation–LongView/ 2

Board Composition

Boards require the right people with an array of skills and perspectives to advise and oversee the organization. This section provides a snapshot of the salient features of *Martinrea International Inc.* board's composition–size, independence, committee independence, demographics and director tenure–as it compares to other issuers across the TSX Index.

Board Size Comparisons

| MRE | TSX Index | Consumer Discretionary | Widely-Held |
|-----|--------------|---------------------------|-------------|
| 8 | 9.7 avg | 9.5 avg | 9.5 avg |

Highlights: Board Renewal Practices


The Johnston Centre tracks several variables on board renewal. Below you'll find what we learned about Martinrea International Inc.'s renewal policies and the adoption of renewal policies across the TSX Index:

| Does MRE have a director term limit? | No |
|--|----|
| 29.0% TSX Index Adoption | |
| Does MRE have a director retirement age limit? | No |
| 37.2% TSX Index Adoption | |

Board Demographic Composition Comparisons

Board Size Distribution





| Category | MRE | TSX Index | Consumer Discretionary | Widely-Held |
|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------------------------|-------------|
| Percentage (number) of Women* | 25.0% (2) | 28.8% | 25.2% | 28.4% |
| Percentage (number) of Aborigina | | | | |
| Peoples or Visible Minorities* | 0% (0) | 5.1% | 5.2% | 4.2% |
| Average Age | 62.9 | 61.9 | 60.4 | 62.1 |
| Average Tenure | 8.6 | 7.4 | 8.0 | 6.9 |
| *Peer Group numbers are average | s. | | | |

Board Independence

There are a multitude of definitions and guidelines around director independence, which can make it difficult to meet everybody's expectations. The Johnston Centre understands that independence involves much more than can be measured on paper. We do, however, gather many variables related to the various relationships that directors might have to a company.

In this section, we summarize what we know about the independence of the *Martinrea International Inc.* board and provide comparisons to other companies on the TSX Index.

Martinrea International Inc. Board Independence

| Independent directors: 6 / 8 (75%) | <u>Audit Committee:</u> 4/4 (100%) Independent |
|---|--|
| CEO/Chair Roles Split: Yes - Executive Chair | Human Resources <u>Committee:</u> 2/2 (100%) Independent |
| Lead Director: | |
| Yes | Nominating Committee: |
| | 3/3 (100%) Independent |

Types of Material Relationships on TSX Index Boards

| Business with | Related Party | Executives of the | Family |
|---|---|---|--|
| the Corporation | Executives | Company | Ties |
| 21.7% of issuers have board members that are lawyers or other professionals retained by the company, or owners/executives that control a third-party entity engaged in business with the company. | 15.9% of issuers have an executive from a parent/ subsidiary/sister company on the board. | 13.5% of issuers have more than one company executive on the board. | 12.1% of issuers are considered family enterprises, with at least one generation of family succession and family members on the board. |

Board Independence

Comparisons

| Peer Group | Average Board Independence | • | Companies with a Lead Director if Chair is Non-Independent |
|------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|---|
| TSX Index | 81.1% | 66.2% | 85.7% |
| Consumer Discretionary | 73.3% | 41.2% | 60.0% |
| Widely-Held | 84.0% | 77.0% | 89.2% |

Committee Independence Comparisons

| Peer Group | Audit Committee | Human Resources Committee | Governance Committee | Nominating Committee |
|------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| TSX Index | 99.5% | 91.8% | 87.9% | 89.9% |
| Consumer Discretionary | 100% | 76.4% | 64.7% | 64.7% |
| Widely-Held | 100% | 98.7% | 95.6% | 97.5% |

The David & Sharon Johnston Centre for Corporate Governance Innovation - LongView/ 4

Board Compensation


This section provides a snapshot of the *Martinrea International Inc.* board's compensation–features, total cost, and pay breakdown–compared against other TSX Index peer groups.

Comparisons:

2019 Average Pay per Director




TSX Index: Prevalence of Director Share Ownership Guidelines as a Multiple of Total Retainer



Director Retainer Multiple

Comparisons: Compensation Breakdown Per Director in 2019



Martinrea International Inc. Board Compensation Info

| 2019 Average Compensation per Director: | \$206,375 |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Director Share Ownership Requirement*: | 1.5x |
| 2019 Total Board Compensation : | \$1,651,000 |
| *Multiple of total retai | iner incl. cash and equity. |

Highlights: Board Compensation

The Johnston Centre tracks the adoption and disclosure of several corporate governance parameters related to how corporate boards are paid. Below you'll find what we learned about Martinrea International Inc. and adoption rate among Consumer Discretionary peers (Industry).

| Are MRE Directors Required To Hold Shares? | Yes |
|---|-----|
| 89.2% Industry Adoption. | |

| Does MRE Pay Committee Fees? | Yes |
|------------------------------|-----|
| 59% Industry Adoption. | |

| Does MRE Pay Board Meeting Fees? | No |
|-------------------------------------|----|
| 24% Industry Adoption. | |
| Do MRE Directors Receive | |

| Do MRE Directors Receive | |
|--------------------------|----|
| Options? | No |
| 6% Industry Adoption. | |

CEO Compensation

CEO compensation is a key responsibility of the board. Every public board makes different decisions about CEO pay, often motivated by several considerations: recruitment and retention, resource allocation, shareholder concerns, company value and performance, and peer compensation. This section provides a snapshot of the *Martinrea International Inc.* 2019 CEO compensation and characterizes overall pay according to the largest component: cash or equity. Compensation features and breakdown of pay are compared against TSX Index peers.

Martinrea International Inc.


CEO Compensation Info & Comparisons

| CEO Total Pay: | CEO Pay Type: |
|--------------------------|------------------------|
| \$4,612,574 | Cash Focused |
| Market Cap Under \$4.75B | Consumer Discretionary |
| CEO Pay Median: | CEO Pay Median: |
| \$2,941,812 | \$4,909,847 |

CEO Pay Types: TSX Index in 2019

| Cash Focused | Balanced | Equity Focused |
|--|---|---|
| CEO Pay | CEO Pay | CEO Pay |
| 37% of issuers: Cash makes up at least 60% of the CEO's compensation excluding pension. | 19% of issuers: Neither cash nor equity make up at least 60% of the CEO's compensation excluding pension. | 43% of issuers: Equity awards make up at least 60% of the CEO's compensation excluding pension. |

Comparison: CEO Pay Breakdown in 2019



Highlights: TSX Index CEO Pay

The Johnston Centre tracked several parameters on the compensation governance of TSX Index companies in 2020. Below we compare *Martinrea International Inc.* to other companies in the Consumer Discretionary sector (Industry).

| Does relative corporate financial | |
|------------------------------------|---|
| performance affect the CEO's bonus | N |
| at MRE? | |
| 0% Industry adoption. | |

10

Does MRE disclose bonus metricslinking the CEO's bonus to corporatefinancial performance?88% Industry adoption.

Does MRE disclose the weight given
to each financial metric affecting the
CEO's bonus payout?Yes82% Industry adoption.

Can MRE's CEO receive no bonus for not meeting targets under the plan? Yes 100% Industry adoption.

Does the MRE CEO receive equity
awards with performance hurdles
that consider relative corporate
financial performance?Yes58% Industry adoption.

Does MRE disclose a claw-backpolicy that enables the Board torecoup for reasons that do notrequire a financial restatement?17% Industry adoption.

The David & Sharon Johnston Centre for Corporate Governance Innovation – LongView/ 6

Disclosure Examples

In this section, we highlight several areas where companies are less likely to earn maximum points in *The Globe and Mail's* annual corporate board ranking "Board Games". In each area, we indicate the 2020 result for *Martinrea International Inc.* and offer examples of disclosure that meet the criteria for each question, which can be used as optional guides.

Board Games Questions with Low Adoption Rates

| Board Games Question # | MRE Score | TSX Index with max score (%) | References to Examples of Disclosure |
|--|--------------|------------------------------------|---|
| 7. b) Does the company describe how it considers the representation of women for the board of directors? | 2/2 | 49% | Intact Financial Corp earns full marks, because its circular discloses a board gender diversity policy that includes a target for the representation of women, and shows how the board has surpassed the target. Reference: Intact Financial Corporation. (2020). Management Information Circular, 60. Retrieved from http://www.intactfc.com/English/investors/financial-reports-and-filings/default.aspx |
| 8. Has the company addressed diversity on its board in areas other than gender? | 0/2 | 2% | Cameco Corp earns full marks, because its circular discloses a diversity policy that requires at least one board member to identify as Indigenous and explains how the requirement has been met. Reference : Cameco Corporation. (2020). Management Information Circular, 29. Retrieved from https://www.cameco.com/media/media-library/documents/management-proxy-circular |
| 9. Does the board have a system to evaluate its performance? | 3/3 | 59% | Canadian Tire Corp earns full marks, because its circular discloses how board and individual director peer assessments are conducted. Reference : Canadian Tire Corporation. (2020). Management Information Circular, 27-28. Retrieved from https:// corp.canadiantire.ca/English/investors/financials-reporting/annual- disclosures/default.aspx |
| 25. Does the company disclose it has a provision to 'claw back' bonus payments to the CEO if wrongdoing is discovered? | 1/2 | 35% | ATCO Ltd earns full marks, because its circular discloses that directors have the discretion to recoup bonus payments if they discover wrongdoing. Several activities constitute wrongdoing, and financial restatement is not required. Reference : ATCO Ltd. (2020). Management Information Circular, 41. Retrieved from www.atco.com/en-ca/about-us/investors/ documents-filings.html |
| 33. Does the company describe how it deals with related-party transactions? | 0/2 | 14% | Ritchie Bros. Auctioneers Inc earns full marks, because its circular discloses the board committee responsible for reviewing related-party transactions, which parties are considered related, and the criteria used to evaluate related-party transactions. Reference : Ritchie Bros. Auctioneers Incorporated. (2020). Management Information Circular, 38. Retrieved from https://investor.ritchiebros.com/financials/sec-filings/default.aspx |

Our Donors

The David & Sharon Johnston Centre for Corporate Governance Innovation at the Rotman School relies on the financial support of its sponsors to fund events and research. We would like to thank the following sponsors for their generous support.

Founding Partners

The Rogers Foundation ATCO Limited KPMG LLP Anonymous

Founding Supporters

Great-West Life Assurance Company Mackenzie Investments Power Corporation of Canada

Partners

Brendan Calder Canadian Tire Corporation Ltd. Langar Foundation in honour of Dr. Gail Regan Robert McEwen The Bay Tree Foundation The Jay and Barbara Hennick Family Foundation The Ralph M. Barford Foundation Viewpoint Foundation

Rotman

The David and Sharon Johnston Centre for Corporate Governance Innovation

Rotman School of Management

105 St. George Street, Toronto Ontario, Canada M5S 3E6 t 416-978-4930 E matt.fullbrook@rotman.utoronto.ca